

**Regional Logger Committee Meeting  
Princeton, MN  
June 8<sup>th</sup>, 2018 (After RAC)**

**Agenda**

1. Revisions and Approval of Agenda
2. Approval of Minutes      **(P1-4)**
3. Logger Update
  - a. Virtual Server
4. Logger User Concerns
  - a. Pope County
5. ARMER 7.17.3 Upgrade & Verint
6. Data Request Form
7. Text to 911
8. Open Discussion
9. Next Meeting
  - July –13<sup>th</sup> Morrison County
10. Adjourn

Central Minnesota  
Regional Logger Committee Meeting  
Litchfield, MN – Meeker County  
May 11, 2018 – 11:44 a.m. (After RAC)

**Members/Alternates Present:**

1. Micah Myers & Brandon Larson, Chair – City of St. Cloud
2. Sheriff Haukos – Big Stone County
3. Sheriff Langlie – Grant County
4. Randy Celander – Meeker County
5. Jason Karlgaard & Keith VanDyke – Otter Tail County
6. Sheriff Riley – Pope County
7. Judy Diehl & Dona Greiner – Stevens County
8. Haley Dubois – Wright County

**Members/Alternates Absent:**

9. Douglas County
10. Kandiyohi County
11. Mille Lacs County
12. Sherburne County

**Guests Present:**

Kyle Breffle – Sherburne County  
Seth Hansen – Wright County  
Dereck Leyde – Northland Business Systems

**1. Approval of the Agenda**

*Stevens County made a motion to approve the agenda. City of St. Cloud seconded, motion carried.*

**2. Approval of Minutes**

*Grant County motioned to approve the minutes from March 9, 2018. Stevens County seconded, motion carried.*

**3. Wilkin County Memo**

At the last meeting, we asked Wilkin County for an official request to join the Logger, for documentation purposes. Larson reported we have already amended our Logger plan and it was approved by ESB to provide unused licenses to Sheriff Fiedler at the cost of annual maintenance; and that goes the same with other CMESB members that would want to join. *Larson stated we are looking for a motion to approve and allow Wilkin County to join the Logger. City of St. Cloud motioned. Stevens County seconded. Motion carried.* Larson asked if there was an update between Wilkin County and Northland. Fiedler reported it was installed on May 2<sup>nd</sup>. We had problems with the second dispatch console, but they came in last Friday and that is fixed. We have not had training yet. Northland replied the recordings are all in place. Larson requested to be notified about how many licenses were used.

#### **4. Logger Update**

##### **a. Virtual Server**

Larson reported Andrew has clicked checkboxes for every talkgroup and person and got that done. Leyde reported we have begun the data migration on our end. It is still going to take another 2-3 weeks because of the amount of data. We are doing it off-peak so that it does not impact the production side of logging into Insight Center. Once that is done we will let everyone know.

Larson asked what are we looking at for the Motorola system upgrade? Leyde replied we have been talking to Dave Theis at Motorola. There will be an update we have to do on the AIS servers and the TLRs, but that will all be covered under your maintenance. It is just a matter of scheduling and informing everybody, but everything is built-in ready to go. Larson said he presumed with the Motorola upgrade cut-over we will probably have an outage? Leyde replied we will do it in half and half, because of the redundancy you should not lose any recordings. At least on our end, unless something happens on the Motorola side; they are replacing firewalls as well. Sheriff Langlie asked the 7.17 will not cost us a thing? Leyde replied it is built into your maintenance.

#### **5. Logger User Concerns**

##### **a. Pope County**

Larson explained after Northland, Larson and IES were on site and Northland hooked up the redundant premise logger that Pope County had some lost audio on their original premise logger. Leyde explained after we did the cutover, IES removed the physical lines from the primary punch down block. We asked them why and they had a miscommunication on their end. We did not lose any recordings because we had it on their redundant logger. Now everything has been up and running stable for over 30 days.

#### **6. Data Request Form**

Larson reported there was discussion at our last meeting about trying to make a data request form that would work for the whole region for data requests. Larson received two comments on this form. One comment was a concern regarding trying to make one form to handle everything including logger radio traffic. Breffle asked what is this trying to accomplish that our own agencies do not already have for a request such as this? Larson replied this is to handle the regional and statewide radio traffic talkgroups; if we have an outside entity that is a non-logger affiliate. The genesis was State Patrol, Stearns County, Itasca County, someone else that was not logging it, or it already expired on their logger and they need the audio traffic. We have heard reports over the last year of different entities going to our regional admins and requesting audio. Getting an official request form and being able to track how often that is occurring. The local talkgroups would go right back to the agency that would have their own process.

## **6. Data Request Form (Continued)**

Myers stated one of the questions we had, and we sought a legal opinion on, was the requirement to provide if someone wants to preview the data do we have to make that available to them? The opinion from the City attorney was no, but she was still looking for some concrete written language that says that. Douglas County does not allow recording devices in. What lengths do we have to go through to make it accessible? With a regular data request you can let them look at it, if they want to take a copy they have to pay for it.

Sheriff Langlie stated he is concerned after reading the Hennepin County ruling that came out recently; Langlie got the impression we are required to allow viewing if requested. Myers asked from an audio standpoint? Langlie said part of the data practices law is that it does not differentiate, it is old, 20 years ago all you had was paper. Myers asked are you required to put up a station to give them access to play it back? Sheriff Haukos suggested to see what is in body camera legislation.

Sheriff Langlie asked how many of us back at our own agencies have separate data request forms? Each agency only has one. Anything the region creates is a potential for a data request. Myers asked do we focus on the audio, and making provisions if we are required and adding that language to the request form: If viewing is not available and you request this information this is the cost to what it is going to be. Larson responded this was created after soliciting data request forms from our agencies and adjustments at the last two meetings. We agreed this will be for regional and statewide resources, anything local will have to go back to the entity. Encrypted traffic would have to be discussed with the local entity for those investigations. Larson stated we will be looking at body cam legislation, Hennepin County results. Sheriff Langlie asked once we settle on this does it have to go to the board for adoption? Would it make sense to move this forward, so that we have something? Myers asked was there anything pressing on it right now? Myers will reach out to Washington County. Do they have a separate request form? They are logging for other agencies. Do they make provisions for folks to be able to listen to the recorded audio? Southeast and South Central have regional loggers too.

## **7. Text to 911**

Diehl reported AI had expressed concern when they went to MSRP they were no longer seeing the carrier, but that was a parsing issue. Leyde reported AI wants to create a separate text field for security concerns. Text messages fall under the position. It is how the data is coming in from CenturyLink and West. Northland has been working with Verint development and they have suggested CenturyLink or West has to make this field request change. It is a text by agent and all those text messages fall under one group verses the positions. CenturyLink said yes, they can do it, but there is a charge. Sheriff Langlie asked if that was just us looking for that in our region? Leyde replied yes. Larson asked are there any other Verint customers that you service that are looking to bring in their text message in that way? Leyde replied Waseca is logging their text-to-911, and it is just under the position. Larson asked would this development benefit them as well? Leyde replied it would benefit any customer. The cost is from CenturyLink and West, not on the Verint side. We are ready to capture it, we just need it parsed out into those fields. Langlie stated we should look to ECN to help us out on that. Larson would consider cost sharing.

## **8. Open Discussion**

Leyde asked is Traverse County looking to join for recording talkgroups? They purchased a new logger. There has been discussion wanting to upload their local recordings to the CAS, but that would all have to fall under you guys. Larson will follow-up with the sheriff to see if he has a desire to join. Larson asked how are they logging their trunk traffic? Leyde replied currently they just have one and it is right off the console.

Larson asked is there a future upgrade of the Verint equipment to make it more enterprise grade? Leyde replied yes, it is from version 5 to 15.2. We are already working on putting some pricing together; we have been talking to Otter Tail County. Once we have all the details we can present what that will look like. Myers asked if that would be the core, or premise as well? Leyde replied premise as well, TLRs, talkgroups. You will not need as many servers though because you can combine a TLR into a CAS and the web. You would have two, primary and redundant going forward.

## **9. Next Meeting:** June 8<sup>th</sup> – Mille Lacs County

## **10. Adjournment**

*Stevens County made a motion to adjourn the meeting. City of St. Cloud seconded and the motion was carried unanimously at 12:12 p.m.*

Minutes recorded by Shari Gieseke.